Pulver Cooper & Blackley Pty Ltd

Proposed Residential Subdivision Rayford Street and Daydawn Avenue, Warners Bay

Additional Geotechnical Assessment

Report No. RGS01426.1-AGrev2

29 March 2019





Manning-Great Lakes Port Macquarie Coffs Harbour

RGS01426.1-AGrev2

29 March 2019

Pulver Cooper Blackley Pty Ltd 98 Lawes Street EAST MAITLAND NSW 2323

Attention: Mr David England

Dear David

RE: Proposed Residential Subdivision – Rayford Street & Daydawn Avenue, Warners Bay Additional Geotechnical Assessment

Regional Geotechnical Solutions (RGS) previously undertook a slope stability assessment to assess the feasibility of undertaking residential subdivision development on adjoining sites on Rayford Street and Daydawn Avenue, Warners Bay, which are situated in an area with a history of slope instability.

The assessment was undertaken in accordance with the Australian Geomechanics Society 2007 Practice Note Guidelines for Landslide Risk Management, and was reported in RGS report reference number RGS01426.1-AE. Based on the findings of the assessment, it was been concluded that residential development on the lower slopes would be feasible from a geotechnical perspective.

The investigation identified an area of recently active landslide was identified on the upper to mid slopes of the northern end of the site, with a lobe of resultant debris having travelled onto the lower, footslope area. This area was identified and delineated in the report and it was recommended that development should be avoided on the active part of the landslide on the upper slopes.

A second area of historic landslide activity was identified on the lower slopes of the section of the property at 19 Daydawn Avenue. It was recommended that residential development be avoided in that part of the site, however, it was considered that a road could be constructed over the area, with appropriate drainage and remedial works.

It is understood that feedback Council from on the areas proposed for development raised concerns regarding the extent of the areas proposed for development in relation to areas previously identified as restricted areas based on Council's previous zoning in relation to geotechnical issues.

Additional work has therefore been undertaken to more accurately delineate the areas of concern and refine the comments and recommendations of the previous report accordingly.

44 Bent Street Wingham NSW 2429 Ph. (02) 6553 5641



This report presents the findings of the investigations. If you have any questions regarding the findings of this report, please contact the undersigned.

For and on behalf of

Regional Geotechnical Solutions Pty Ltd

Cl A

Steve Morton Principal

Table of Contents

1	INT	RODUCTION	1
2	LAI	NDSLIDE MECHANISMS AND PREVIOUS RISK ASSESSMENT	1
3	ME	THODOLOGY FOR ADDITIONAL INVESTIGATION AND ASSESSMENT	3
4	DA	YDAWN AVENUE	3
	4.1	Previous recommendations	3
	4.2	Findings of the investigation	4
	4.3	Implications for proposed development	5
5	RA	YFORD STREET	6
	5.1	Previous Recommendations	6
	5.2	Findings of this Investigation	8
	5.3	Implications for proposed development	8
6	СС	NCLUSION	10
7	LIM	ITATIONS	

Figures

Figure 1	Extent of Landslide Affected Area - Daydawn Avenue
Figure 2	Extent of Landslide Affected Area - Northern Landslide Area
Figure 3	Proposed Landslide Remediation works - Daydawn Avenue
Figure 4	Geotechnical Zoning of Northern Landslide Area
Figure 5	Proposed Landslide Remediation Works North End of site
Figure 6	Proposed Subsoil Drains

Appendices

Appendix A Results of Field Investigations

1 INTRODUCTION

Regional Geotechnical Solutions (RGS) previously undertook a slope stability assessment to assess the feasibility of undertaking residential subdivision development on adjoining sites on Rayford Street and Daydawn Avenue, Warners Bay, which are situated in an area with a history of slope instability.

The assessment was undertaken in accordance with the Australian Geomechanics Society 2007 Practice Note Guidelines for Landslide Risk Management, and was reported in RGS report reference number RGS01426.1-AE. Based on the findings of the assessment, it was been concluded that residential development on the lower slopes would be feasible from a geotechnical perspective.

The investigation identified an area of recently active landslide on the upper to mid slopes of the northern end of the site, above the end of Winterlake Road, with a lobe of resultant debris having travelled onto the lower, footslope area. This area is identified and delineated from surface mapping in the previous report and it was recommended that development should be avoided on the active part of the landslide on the upper slopes.

A second area of historic landslide activity was identified on the lower slopes of the section of the property at 19 Daydawn Avenue. The previous report recommended that residential development be avoided in that part of the site, however, it was considered that a road could be constructed over the area, with appropriate drainage and remedial works.

It is understood that feedback from Council raised concerns regarding the extent and location of the areas proposed for development relative to areas previously precluded from development based on Council's geotechnical zoning.

RGS has therefore undertaken additional investigations in conjunction with survey to:

- Identify the extent and location of the areas of known landslide;
- Provide further information on the nature of the landslide and drainage conditions in and around the landslide areas;
- Provide further advice on the extent of potential residential development and the remedial measures that would be required to facilitate such development.

2 LANDSLIDE MECHANISMS AND PREVIOUS RISK ASSESSMENT

The previous report (RGS01426.1-AE) assessed the risk of slope instability at the site using the principles and protocols of the Australian Geomechanics Society publication *Practice Note Guidelines for Landslide Risk Management, 2007*.

The slope risk assessment process identified five potential landslide hazard types, as summarised below:

Hazard 1: Large scale translational slide of conglomerate blocks over saturated tuffaceous claystone layers large movements and possible debris flow and involving more than >100m³ of material. Such a failure could cause complete destruction or large scale damage of several structures within a typical residential subdivision;



- Hazard 2: Translational or rotational slide through the colluvial and residual soil profile. Should such a failure occur it could potentially cause extensive structural damage and require large scale, costly repairs, and possibly temporary evacuation of a typical residential building until repairs are complete. Maintaining good slope drainage to prevent buildup of water pressures within the profile is recommended;
- Hazard 3: Soil creep. Creep is an imperceptibly slow movement that takes place on sloping soil sites. It is an ongoing, natural slope process involving the progressive downslope movement of soils over the underlying rock profile. Creep will occur within the soil profile overlying weathered rock at this site, and will require management by undertaking good hillside construction practice as recommended in this report;
- Hazard 4: Translational or rotational slide of soil and weathered rock profile on outer edge of profile resulting from ongoing stress relief due to erosion and valley formation processes on the outer slope. Should such a failure occur it could potentially cause extensive structural damage and require large scale, costly repairs, and possibly temporary evacuation of buildings until repairs are complete.
- Hazard 5: Small scale slide (<100m³) due to failure of unsupported cuts and fills or poorly designed, constructed, or otherwise inadequate retaining walls. Such a failure could cause localised damage requiring moderate repairs to part of the structure. These failures can be limited or managed by good hillside development.

The previous report included an assessment of the risks associated with each type of Hazard within the proposed development areas, and nominated general remedial measures or slope management measures that would be required to reduce the assessed risk level for each of the identified hazards to Low Risk, a risk category that would generally be considered tolerable for residential development within Australia. The following general remedial and/or management works were recommended for each potential Hazard type:

- Hazard 1: The likelihood of this type of large scale event occurring was considered Rare in accordance with AGS2007 (Refer to definitions reproduced in Report RGS01426.1-AE). The report recommended drainage measures and subdivision works be undertaken in accordance with good hillside practice. Promotion of slope drainage within and around the development areas will further reduce the likelihood of this type of landslide occurring and result in a Low Risk classification;
- Hazard 2: Translational or rotational slide through the colluvial and residual soil profile. Maintaining good slope drainage to prevent buildup of water pressures within the profile was recommended, including the installation of subsoil drains. It was also recommended to found all structures in weathered rock where slopes exceed 10 degrees.
- Hazard 3: Soil creep. The report recommended founding all structures in rock where slopes exceed 10 degrees, and using good hillside construction and drainage measures;



- Hazard 4: Translational or rotational slide of soil and weathered rock profile. The existing slides in the northern part of the site and at 19 Daydawn Avenue as being examples of Hazard 4 failures. The previous assessment recommended avoiding residential development on active slide areas, as well as installing drainage/ remedial measures to enable development within the potential debris zone of the active northern slide area.
- Hazard 5: These small scale slides (<100m³) due to failure of unsupported cuts and fills or poorly designed, constructed, or otherwise inadequate retaining walls can be limited or managed by good hillside development.

3 METHODOLOGY FOR ADDITIONAL INVESTIGATION AND ASSESSMENT

The aim of the investigation was to refine and further assess the extent and nature of the previously identified landslides and their potential impacts on development, particularly the parts of the site potentially affected by the landslides identified at the end of Daydawn Avenue and the northern end of the site above Winterlake Road.

Fieldwork for the assessment was undertaken by a Principal Geotechnical Engineer from RGS on 4 December 2018 and included the following:

- Observation of site features and surrounding features relevant to the geotechnical conditions of the site;
- Walkover assessment and identification by survey (undertaken by PCB surveyors) of features of significance in relation to identification of areas of past instability;
- Logging, sampling and test pitting of ten test pits excavated by tracked excavator to assess subsurface conditions in identified areas of interest;
- Review of historical geotechnical reports undertaken since 1979 on the landslides in the area, associated risks, and potential remedial measures. Reports that were reviewed are referenced 1 to 5 at the end of this report.

Engineering logs of the test pits are attached. Test locations were obtained by survey on the day of the investigations and are shown on the attached Figures 1 and 2.

4 DAYDAWN AVENUE

4.1 Previous recommendations

The former landslide is situated in the vacant ground off the western end of Daydawn Avenue. The previous RGS report (RGS01426.1-AE) noted that prior to development of the area, the identified landslide zone will require remediation prior to incorporation in the development area as a road easement. The report noted that remedial measures are likely to involve:

- Installation of drainage measures such as subsoil drains and horizontal drains to promote drainage of the slope and prevent buildup of pore water pressures within the slope;
- Regrading of the failed area to reduce locally steep slope angles.

4.2 Findings of the investigation

Survey and test pitting were undertaken to further define the depth and extent of colluvial soils, disturbed soils, presence of the coal seam, and identification of zones of water inflow within the profile. Figure 1 shows the significant features identified by the assessment. The following features were of particular significance:

- The mapping and survey revealed a landslide morphology comprising a rear scarp (top and toe delineated) a disturbed area, and a large lobe of landslide debris. The extent of each of these features is shown on Figure 1;
- The test pitting and survey indicates that the main landslide area is centred around the approximate centre of the proposed cul-de-sac. The extent of disturbed ground associated with the former landslide, however, extends laterally further than previously considered. As shown on Figure 1, the landslide area extends onto the front of the proposed lots around the cul-de-sac;
- A debris lobe below the landslide extends onto the front half of several lots on the lower part of the slope where the cul-de-sac connects through to the existing road;
- The scarp of the landslide, and visible "slices" of landslide material below the scarp, were encountered and observed in TP101;
- Water inflows were encountered in several of the test pits (TP102, TP104, TP105) within the disturbed area, either through the colluvial soils or at the interface between the colluvial soil profile and underlying disturbed, weathered rock;
- Visible seepage from the disturbed zone was observed in two locations that are marked on Figure 1;
- A coal seam and overlying low strength tuffaceous soils were encountered in TP103 on the northern edge of the disturbed area;
- Fill was encountered overlying the disturbed area indicating there has been some past earthworks undertaken to re-shape the area.

Selected photographs of the above features are presented below:





4.3 Implications for proposed development

The findings of the investigation indicated a deep, disturbed profile and significant subsurface water within the former landslide area. This is as per the findings and expectations indicated in the previous geotechnical report. As per the previous report, the proposed development area extends onto and across the landslide and development of this area will require works to remediate the landslide and improve subsurface drainage.

The recent test pitting investigation indicated that the disturbed ground extends onto the front of the majority of the lots surrounding the cul-de-sac. Previous remediation concepts discussed included installation of subsoil drains that could be located on property boundaries, to assist in alleviating pore water pressures within the landslide area. This would improve drainage, however, the highly disturbed ground would remain within the proposed building areas of the lots and this is not recommended.

It is therefore proposed to remediate the Daydawn Avenue section of the site by completely excavating the former landslide and associated disturbed soils, and reconstructing the slope incorporating a rockfill drainage blanket, overlain by controlled fill that would be placed and compacted in a manner suitable for the support of high-level residential footings. This methodology not only removes the landslide, but improves site drainage, rehabilitates the landslide area, and allows reconstruction of the slope to a surface form that is more conducive to residential development than the current morphology.

The remediation will involve:

- Undertake additional geotechnical investigation involving drilling to identify the depth of the landslide and landslide debris. This will allow quantification of earthworks volumes and design of the remedial works;
- Excavate the disturbed area down to the base of the former landslide, and stockpile the materials for subsequent re-use. Materials are expected to be predominantly suitable for re-use as engineered fill, pending some drying back to a suitable moisture content;

- Install a geofabric-wrapped drainage blanket of hard, durable rock across the full floor of the excavation. This drainage blanket would be designed to intercept all subsurface flows beneath the area and discharge them to the street stormwater drainage via an appropriately designed system of drainage easements and subsoil drains;
- Following moisture conditioning of the excavated material, place it back into the excavated area as Controlled Fill (AS2870-2011) under Level 1 supervision (AS3798-2007) to the design finished subdivision landform.

Placement of a drainage blanket and controlled backfilling of the excavation in this manner has the following advantages:

- Reduced delays in terms of investigation, monitoring, design, and post-drain installation monitoring prior to construction;
- Removes, reconstructs, and rehabilitates the ground disturbed by the landslide and provides complete under-drainage as well as a rockfill berm to provide gravitational retention of the reconstructed soil mass upslope;
- Increased property value for lots on which high level footings can be adopted compared to the same lots being designated as Class P lots requiring significant piled foundation systems;
- Reduced risk associated with long term performance of residential structures on the reengineered controlled fill lots – ie. removes the risk of differential settlement between pilesupported structure and surrounding services, accessways, and utilities on the surrounding disturbed material;
- Reconstructs the site to a landform that suits residential development.

A concept sketch that shows the general remediation method proposed is shown in Figure 3.

5 RAYFORD STREET

5.1 Previous Recommendations

The previous investigations identified a zone of active slope instability at the northern end of the site, surrounded by colluvial deposits that were remnants of flows or ancient mass movement. The areas were plotted into broad zones as shown on the figure reproduced below from report ref.RGS01426.1-AE.



The report provided the following recommendations in relation to development on the delineated areas:

Upper, active landslide zone (shaded red with white dashed outline) - No development

Lower depositional zone (shaded red, no outline) – Not an active slide area, but contains debris of past landslides and may be impacted by debris flows from further mass movement upslope. Development feasible following remedial measures to reduce potential impacts from landslides occurring upslope. Measures are likely to involve:

- Installation of drainage such as subsoil drains or horizontal drains to promote drainage of the slope and prevent buildup of pore water pressures;
- Regrading of the failed slope above the proposed development area, to allow control of erosion and remove soils that appear prone to short term onset of instability;
- Possibly undertake regrade in conjunction with installation of mesh, topsoil, and anchors to stabilise the disturbed soil mantle directly upslope of the development area.

<u>Colluvial midslope area</u> (yellow dashed outline) – Development will require specific investigation and remedial measures including installation of subsoil drains to prevent water travelling through the weathered rock profile from becoming trapped beneath the low permeability colluvial clay soils that cover the slope.

<u>Remainder of site</u> – development feasible subject to good hillside construction practice and specific geotechnical guidance on earthworks, drainage and retention.



5.2 Findings of this Investigation

Test pitting and survey undertaken for this investigation supported the findings of the previous assessment and allowed more accurate delineation of the extent of each of the previously identified zones.

The locations of the test pits and the extent of relevant surface features surveyed are shown on Figure 2. Of note:

- Deep colluvial deposits in test pit TP106 and TP107 support the previous identification of colluvial slopes in this area;
- Since the previous assessment, clearing of thick vegetation on the slope behind the TP106/107 area revealed an irregular surface with some features indicative of past disturbance or movement;
- Colluvial deposits were shallower in the lower slopes that encroach onto the rear of proposed residential lots. The colluvium in test pits TP108 and TP109 was underlain by residual soils and weathered rock at 2.4 and 2.2m respectively;
- Evidence of active movement at the northern end of the site was observed and the visible extent delineated by survey.

Some observed site conditions are shown in the photographs below:



5.3 Implications for proposed development

Based on the findings of this investigation, the geotechnical risk zones identified in the previous report have been further defined as shown in Figure 4. Issues regarding the development of each of these zones are discussed below:

Zone A – It is recommended that no residential development be undertaken in this zone. Some remedial work is required to reduce the risk of landslides within this zone impacting on residential lots downslope.

Zone B – This is a steeply sloping area that shows evidence of disturbance and possible past mass movement. It is not clear whether the irregular ground and visible features of disturbance are



related to mass movement, or near surface disturbance associated with past use of this part of the site for orcharding. A 2007 Google Earth image of the site (shown below) shows remnant orchards on the slope currently delineated as Zone B. It also shows an area of possible localised slope movement.



Residential development of the Zone B area will require extensive geotechnical investigation, design, and remedial works.

Zone C – Zone C contains no evidence of past landslide activity within the zone itself, but there are colluvial deposits from ancient upslope activity within this zone. Instability within Zone C is not expected to occur, however, prior to residential development in this area some remedial works would be undertaken to reduce the risk of the area being impacted by instability in the steep slopes to the west.

As per the recommendations of the previous report, remediation prior to residential development in the Zone C area will involve:

- Installation of deep subsoil drains to promote drainage of the slope and prevent buildup of pore water pressures within the slope;
- Regrading of the failed slope, including installation of a rockfill berm, to stabilise the slope, improve drainage, to allow control of erosion, and to remove soils that appear prone to short term onset of instability;
- Regrade of the colluvial deposits downslope of the active slide area.

Detailed geotechnical investigation and modelling of the slope are to be undertaken to allow the design and implementation of appropriate remedial measures to reduce the risk of slope instability



behind the potential development area. A concept sketch showing the nature of the works to be undertaken is presented in Figure 5.

6 CONCLUSION

The assessment presented herein included a review of the previous geotechnical reports (Ref.1 to 5) in the area, dating back to 1979, which contributed to the geotechnical zoning restrictions that remain in place on some parts of the study area. These previous reports identified the extent and nature of landslides affecting the area and provided recommendations on potential remedial measures that included measures such as deep subsoil drainage and use of rockfill to improve drainage and increase shear resistance within the zones potential of instability.

The assessment has concluded that residential development over the Daydawn Avenue landslide area is feasible, provided the affected landslide area is removed, provided with full underdrainage, then replaced and compacted as Controlled engineered fill.

The active landslide area at the northern end of the site does not encroach on residential lots within the proposed subdivision layout, however, subdivision development could be impacted by debris flows from further upslope instability, as evidenced by existing colluvial deposits. Development of the proposed subdivision is considered feasible provided works are undertaken to remediate the active landslide on the upper slopes. Proposed measures include installation of deep subsoil drains within the landslide area, provision of a rockfill berm around the toe of the landslide area, and regrading of both the landslide area and the colluvial slopes below.

The remedial works proposed are consistent with recommended remedial measures contained in the earlier geotechnical reports. Following remediation it is considered that residential development of the currently proposed subdivision areas will be feasible from a geotechnical perspective.

7 LIMITATIONS

The findings presented in the report and used as the basis for recommendations presented herein were obtained using normal, industry accepted geotechnical design practises and standards. To our knowledge, they represent a reasonable interpretation of the general condition of the site. Under no circumstances, however, can it be considered that these findings represent the actual state of the site at all points. If site conditions encountered during construction vary significantly from those discussed in this report, Regional Geotechnical Solutions Pty Ltd should be contacted for further advice.

This report alone should not be used by contractors as the basis for preparation of tender documents or project estimates. Contractors using this report as a basis for preparation of tender documents should avail themselves of all relevant background information regarding the site before deciding on selection of construction materials and equipment.

I



f you have any questions regarding this project, or require any additional consultations, please contact the undersigned.

For and on behalf of

Regional Geotechnical Solutions Pty Ltd

CQ

Steve Morton Principal Geotechnical Engineer

References:

- 1. Coffey & Partners Pty Ltd, Report N1211-AA, Slope Stability of Subdivision, Winterlake Street Speers Point, November 1979
- 2. Coffey and Partners Pty Ltd, Report S7089/1-AH, Slope Stability and Urban Capability Study, Speers Point, NSW, December 1983
- 3. Coffey & Partners Pty Ltd, Report S7089/2-AA, Supplementary Investigation Report, February 1984
- 4. Coffey & Partners Pty Ltd, Report S7089/4-AC, Speers Point Landslides, installation of Piezometers and Assessment of Stability, December 1984
- 5. Shirley Partners Pty Ltd, Report RN84051/1/K051, Geotechnical Report on Proposed Townhouse Development at Winterlake Street, Speers Point, June 1987



Figures

Regional Geotechnical Solutions RGS01426.1-AGrev2 29 March 2019





	Client	Pulver Cooper Blackley Pty Ltd
M	Project:	Residential Subdivision Geotechnical Assessment
		19 Daydawn Ave and 40 Rayford Street, Warners Bay
	Title:	Extent of Landslide Affected Area - Daydawn Avenue



	Client	Pulver Cooper Blackley Pty Ltd
GEOTECHNICAL	Project:	Residential Subdivision Geotechnical Assessment
SOLUTIONS		19 Daydawn Ave and 40 Rayford Street, Warners Bay
	Title:	Extent of Landslide Affected Area - Northern Landslide Area

Job No.	RGS01426.1	
Drawn By:	SRM	





de Zc du in re Zc du sc in	one A: No residevelopment resident resident resident for the second second second second second resident reside	ecommended dential recommended ive geotechnical design, and s ential feasible, with I works to
	Job No.	RG\$01426.1
	Drawn By:	SRM
	Date:	15-Jan-19
	Drawing No.	Figure 4







Appendix A

Results of Field Investigations

Regional Geotechnical Solutions RGS01426.1-AGrev2 29 March 2019

					ENGI	NEE	RING LOG - TEST PIT			т	EST		10: TP101
		REGIO		ICAL	CLIENT	:	Pulver Cooper Blackley			P	PAGE	=:	1 of 1
		SOLUT			PROJE	CT NA	ME: Residential Subdivision			J	OB I	NO:	RGS01426.1
					SITE LO	CAT	ION: Rayford Street, Warners Bay			L	.OG(GED E	BY: SM
					TEST L	OCAT	TION: See Figure 1			D	DATE	:	4/12/18
		IENT TYP			Ico 8T E					SURF		RL:	
TE				3.4 n	n W	IDTH:				DATU		-1 T 4	AHD
	Dni	ling and Sar	npiing		_	z	Material description and profile information				Fiel	d Test	-
METHOD	WATER	SAMPLES	RL (m)	DEPTI (m)	E GRAPHIC LOG	CLASSIFICATION SYMBOL	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION: Soil type, plasticity/pa characteristics,colour,minor components	oarticle	MOISTURE CONDITION	CONSISTENCY DENSITY	Test Type	Result	Structure and additional observations
EXCAVATOR	Not Encountered					× × × × × × ×	FILL: Silty SAND, fine to medium grained, dar grey-brown, with some Clay and organics	rk	М				FILL - Original Topsoil, Fill, plastic bags and vegetation at base of Fill
ш	Not			0.4	5 - }		TOPSOIL: Silty SAND, fine to medium grained grey-brown 0.70m	d, dark					TOPSOIL
				1.0		СН	Sandy CLAY: Medium plasticity, pale grey and orange-brown, Sand fine to medium grained	nd	M > Wp	VSt	HP	220	RESIDUAL SOIL Upper surface of residual profile step down slope
				1.8	5								
				2.0									
				2.									
				3.0			3.10m						
					-		Hole Terminated at 3.10 m						
				3.	5								
				4.0									
					-								
				4.	<u>-</u>								
					-								L,
	Wat (Dat Wat	ter Level te and time s ter Inflow ter Outflow anges	hown)	U ₅₀ CBR E ASS B	Bulk s Enviro Acid s Bulk s	n Diame sample onmenta	eter tube sample for CBR testing al sample Soil Sample	S S F F St S VSt N H F	ncy /ery Soft Firm Stiff /ery Stiff Hard Friable		<: 2! 50 10 20	<u>CS (kPa</u> 25 5 - 50 0 - 100 00 - 200 00 - 400 400	D Dry M Moist W Wet W _p Plastic Limit W _L Liquid Limit
	G tra D	radational or ansitional stra efinitive or dis rata change		<u>Field Te</u> PID DCP(x-y HP	Photo) Dynar	nic pen	on detector reading (ppm) etrometer test (test depth interval shown) ometer test (UCS kPa)	<u>Density</u>	V L D VE	L D N D	'ery Lo oose lediur)ense 'ery D	n Dense	Density Index <15% Density Index 15 - 35% e Density Index 35 - 65% Density Index 65 - 85% Density Index 85 - 100%

					ENG	NEE	RING LOG - TE	EST PIT			т	EST		NO: TP102
			nal Chn	ICAL	CLIEN	Г:	Pulver Coope	r Blackley			P	AGE	:	1 of 1
		SOLUT			PROJE	CT NA	ME: Residential Su	ubdivision			J	OB I	NO:	RGS01426.1
					SITE L	OCATI	ON: Rayford Stree	et, Warners Bay			L	.OGC	GED E	BY: SM
					TEST I	.OCAT	ION: See Figure 1				C	OATE	:	4/12/18
		IENT TYP		Kobe 3.5 n	lco 8T n V	Excava /IDTH:		EASTING: NORTHING:			SURF. DATU		RL:	AHD
	Dril	ling and Sar	npling				Material description	on and profile information				Fiel	d Test	
						NO					≿			-
METHOD	WATER	SAMPLES	RL (m)	DEPT (m)	GRAPHIC LOG	CLASSIFICATION SYMBOL		IPTION: Soil type, plasticity cs,colour,minor component		MOISTURE CONDITION	CONSISTENCY	Test Type	Result	Structure and additional observations
TOR					-		TOPSOIL: Claye medium to high p	ey SILT, dark grey-brown, (blasticity	Clay	۲ ۲ ۲	Fb			TOPSOIL Moist to wet
EXCAVATOR				0.	- } } - } } 5 } - } }		0.70m			×		ΗP	50	
				1.			Sandy CLAY: M and orange-brow	ledium to high plasticity, pa n, Sand fine to coarse grai	ale grey ned	M > Wp	St		400	COLLUVIUM Moisture content decreasing with depth
				1.			Becoming Grave	lly with depth				HP	120	
				2.								HP	250	
	•			2.	5						H / Fb	•		
				3.		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	and orange-brow	'n		×				WEATHERED SANDSTONE Steady water inflow at 2.6m from downslope side of pit
				3.		•	3.40m Hole Terminated	at 3.40 m						
				4.	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -									
				4.	-									
<u>Wat</u> ▼	Wat (Dat Wat Wat	ter Level te and time s ter Inflow ter Outflow	hown)	Notes, S U ₅₀ CBR E ASS B	Bulk Envii Acid	n Diame sample onmenta	eter tube sample for CBR testing al sample Soil Sample		S F St VSt H	Very Soft Soft Firm Stiff Very Stiff Hard		<2 25 50 10 20	<u>CS (kPa</u> 25 5 - 50 0 - 100 00 - 200 00 - 400 400	D Dry M Moist W Wet D W _p Plastic Limit
<u>Stra</u>	tra Do	inges radational or ansitional stra efinitive or dis rata change		Field Te PID DCP(x-y HP	Phot) Dyna	mic pen	on detector reading (ppm) etrometer test (test depth ometer test (UCS kPa)		PD Density	Friable V L MI D VE	L D N D	ery Lo oose lediun ense ery De	n Dense	Density Index <15% Density Index 15 - 35% e Density Index 35 - 65% Density Index 65 - 85% Density Index 85 - 100%

		REGION	A 1	E	NGI	NEE	RING LOG - TEST PIT			т	EST	PIT N	NO: TP103
		GEOTEC	HNIC	AL	LIENT		Pulver Cooper Blackley				AGE		1 of 1
		SOLUTIO	ONS		ROJE						OB I		RGS01426.1
												GED E -	
							ION: See Figure 1			D	ATE		4/12/18
		ENT TYPE: T LENGTH		Kobelc 3.1 m		xcava IDTH:				SURF/ DATU		RL:	AHD
	Drill	ing and Samp	oling				Material description and profile information				Fiel	d Test	
METHOD	WATER	SAMPLES	RL (m)	DEPTH (m)	GRAPHIC LOG	CLASSIFICATION SYMBOL	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION: Soil type, plasticity/p characteristics,colour,minor components	particle	MOISTURE CONDITION	CONSISTENCY DENSITY	Test Type	Result	Structure and additional observations
EXCAVATOR	Not Encountered			-			TOPSOIL: Silty Clayey SAND, fine to medium grained, dark grey-brown	n	M > W _P				TOPSOIL
EX	Not E			0.5		— — -	Sandy CLAY: Medium plasticity, pale grey-bro Sand fine grained	 rown,		St			SLOPEWASH
				- 1.0		СН	Gravelly Sandy CLAY: Medium plasticity, pa and orange brown, Sand and Gravel fine to co grained		M > Wp	St / VSt			COLLUVIUM - Disturbed Conglomerate. Blocks of disturbed Conglomerate at rear of pit
				- - 2.5			2.30m CLAYSTONE: Fine grained, grey, blocky stru 2.60m COAL: Black	ucture	M	Fb / H			HIGHLY WEATHERED TUFFACEOUS CLAYSTONE - Water on defect surfaces COAL MODERATELY WEATHERED.
				- 3.0_			3.10m						No water inflow observed
				- - 3.5			Hole Terminated at 3.10 m						
				4.0									
				4.5									
Wate	Wat (Dat	er Level e and time sho er Inflow	own)	− lotes, Sar U₅ BR E SS	50mm Bulk s Enviro	Diame ample f	eter tube sample for CBR testing al sample	S So F Fi St St	ery Soft oft rm tiff ery Stiff		<2 25 50 10	CS (kPa 25 5 - 50 0 - 100 00 - 200 00 - 400	D Dry M Moist W Wet D W _p Plastic Limit
	Water Inflow Water Outflow Strata Changes Gradational or transitional strata Definitive or distict strata change			ield Test: PID PCP(x-y) HP	Bulk S <u>s</u> Photo Dynar	Sample ionisationisation		H H	ard iable V L D VE	Vi La D M D	ery Lo	400 Dose n Dense	Density Index <15% Density Index 15 - 35%

				E	NGI	NEE	RING LOG - TEST PIT			т	EST	PIT N	NO: TP104
		REGIONA	AL HNICAI		IENT	:	Pulver Cooper Blackley			P	AGE	:	1 of 1
		SOLUTIO			ROJEC	T NA	ME: Residential Subdivision			J	OB I	NO:	RGS01426.1
				S	TE LO	CATI	ON: Rayford Street, Warners Bay			L	OG	GED E	BY: SM
				TE	ST LO	CAT	ION: See Figure 1			D	ATE	:	4/12/18
EQ	UIPM	IENT TYPE:	K	obelco	8T E	xcava	tor EASTING:		5	SURF	ACE	RL:	
TE	ST P	T LENGTH:	3.	.1 m	W	DTH:	0.5 m NORTHING):	[DATU	M:		AHD
	Drill	ling and Sampl	ling				Material description and profile information				Fiel	d Test	-
METHOD	WATER	SAMPLES		EPTH (m)	GRAPHIC LOG	CLASSIFICATION SYMBOL	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION: Soil type, plastic characteristics,colour,minor compone		MOISTURE	CONSISTENCY DENSITY	Test Type	Result	Structure and additional observations
EXCAVATOR						_	FILL: Silty CLAY, medium plasticity, dark grey-brown		M > W				FILL - Reworked Topsoil
EX				0.5			0.40m TOPSOIL: Sandy Silty CLAY, medium to plasticity, dark grey-brown 0.75m	high	W	F	-		TOPSOIL - Wet
	•			1.0			Sandy Gravelly CLAY: Medium to high p pale grey and orange brown	plasticity,		F	HP	50	
				1.5			1.40m GRAVEL: Fine to coarse of the second se	 grained,		St VSt	HP	240	
							orange-brown and grey, Clay medium to h plasticity, Sand fine to coarse grained	ligh	ž		HP	400	Moisture lower then overlyin layers
				2.5	<u>~ . </u>		2.40m Hole Terminated at 2.40 m						
				3.5 - - 4.0 - -									
				4.5									
<u>Wat</u>	Wat (Dat ∙ Wat	er Level e and time show er Inflow er Outflow inges	U₅₀ CBR	R	50mm Bulk s Enviro Acid S	Diame ample f nmenta	ter tube sample for CBR testing al sample Soil Sample	Consis VS S F St VSt H Fb	Very Soft Soft Firm Stiff Very Stiff Hard Friable		<: 2! 50 10 20	<u>CS (kPa</u> 25 5 - 50 0 - 100 00 - 200 00 - 400 400	D Dry M Moist W Wet D W _p Plastic Limit U W _L Liquid Limit
	Gi tra De	radational or ansitional strata efinitive or distic rata change	PI	P(x-y)	Photoi Dynan	nic pen	on detector reading (ppm) etrometer test (test depth interval shown) ometer test (UCS kPa)	Density	: V L ME D VD	L D M D	ery Lo bose lediur ense ery D	n Dens	Density Index <15% Density Index 15 - 35% e Density Index 35 - 65% Density Index 65 - 85% Density Index 85 - 100%

		DECIONA		ENG	NEE	RING LOG - TEST PIT			т	EST		10: TP105
		REGIONA	HNICAL	CLIEN	Г:	Pulver Cooper Blackley			Ρ	AGE	≣:	1 of 1
		SOLUTIO		PROJE		ME: Residential Subdivision			J	OB I	NO:	RGS01426.1
				SITE L	OCAT	ON: Rayford Street, Warners Bay			L	OG	GED E	BY: SM
				TEST	OCAT	ION: See Figure 1			D	ATE	:	4/12/18
		IENT TYPE: T LENGTH:	Kob 3.3	elco 8T m V	Excava VIDTH:				SURF		RL:	AHD
		ling and Sampli				Material description and profile information					d Test	
					Z				~			
METHOD	WATER	ISAMPLEST	RL DEP (m) (m)		CLASSIFICATION SYMBOL	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION: Soil type, plasticity characteristics,colour,minor component		MOISTURE	CONSISTENCY DENSITY	Test Type	Result	Structure and additional observations
EXCAVATOR			0		GC	Sandy Clayey GRAVEL: Fine to coarse gro pale grey-orange brown, Clay medium to hig plasticity, Sand fine to coarse grained	ained, gh	W	F			COLLUVIUM Seepage at surface. Minor inflows upslope side of pit to 0.7m
			1		CL	<u>0.70m</u> Sandy Gravelly CLAY: Medium plasticity, orange-brown, Sand and Gravel fine to coar grained	grey and se	M > w _P	VSt / Fb	HP	250	
			1	5						HP	300	Water inflow at 1.8m upslop
	-		2	.0	64	1.90m Hole Terminated at 1.90 m						end of pit
				- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -								
				-								
			3	.5								
			4	.0								
				-								
			4	.5								
<u>Wat</u> ▼	Wat (Dat - Wat	er Level e and time show er Inflow er Outflow inges	U ₅₀ CBR	Bulk Envi Acid	m Diame sample ronmenta	eter tube sample for CBR testing al sample Soil Sample	Consist VS S F St VSt H Fb	ency Very Soft Soft Firm Stiff Very Stiff Hard Friable		<: 2! 50 10 20	CS (kPa 25 5 - 50 0 - 100 00 - 200 00 - 400 400	D Dry M Moist W Wet D W _p Plastic Limit
	Gi tra De	radational or ansitional strata efinitive or distict rata change	t Field T PID DCP(x- HP	Phot y) Dyna	mic pen	on detector reading (ppm) etrometer test (test depth interval shown) ometer test (UCS kPa)	<u>Density</u>		Lo M D	ery Lo bose lediur ense ery D	n Dense	Density Index <15% Density Index 15 - 35% e Density Index 35 - 65% Density Index 65 - 85% Density Index 85 - 100%

				~			RING LOG - TEST PIT Pulver Cooper Blackley				'EST PAGE	' PIT N =:	NO: TP106 1 of 1
		SOLUTI			ROJEC	T NA	ME: Residential Subdivision			J	ОΒΙ	NO:	1 of 1 RGS01426.1 Y: SM 4/12/18 AHD Structure and additional observations TOPSOIL
				S	ITE LO	CATI	ON: Rayford Street, Warners Bay			L	.OG(GED E	
				Т	EST LO	OCAT	ION: See Figure 1			D	DATE	:	4/12/18
		IENT TYPE IT LENGTH		Kobelc 2.8 m		xcava IDTH:	tor EASTING: 0.5 m NORTHING:			SURF. DATU		RL:	AHD
	Drill	ling and Sam	pling				Material description and profile information				Fiel	d Test	
METHOD	WATER	SAMPLES	RL (m)	DEPTH (m)	GRAPHIC LOG	CLASSIFICATION SYMBOL	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION: Soil type, plasticity characteristics,colour,minor components	/particle	MOISTURE CONDITION	CONSISTENCY DENSITY	Test Type	Result	
EXCAVATOR	Not Encountered			-			TOPSOIL: Silty Sandy CLAY, medium plast grey-brown, Sand fine to coarse grained	icity,	M < Wp	Fb			TOPSOIL
EX	Not Er			0.5		CL	0.40m Sandy Gravelly CLAY: Low to medium plas Sand and Gravel fine to coarse grained	sticity,	M < Wp	VSt	HP	300	
				1.0 - - 1.5 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -			2.10m					200	Profile generally "tight" and dry. No inflows
				- - 2.5 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -			Hole Terminated at 2.10 m						
	GEND:		<u> </u>	4.5_ - - - - - - -	nples an	d Tests	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	Consis VS	tency Very Soft			CS (kPa 25	a) <u>Moisture Condition</u> D Dry
- 	Wat (Dat - Wat	ter Level te and time sho ter Inflow ter Outflow Inges	own)	U₅₀ CBR E ASS B	Bulk s Enviro Acid S	ample t nmenta	ter tube sample for CBR testing I sample Soil Sample	S F St VSt H Fb	Very Soft Soft Firm Stiff Very Stiff Hard Friable		2! 50 10 20	25 5 - 50 0 - 100 00 - 200 00 - 400 400	M Moist W Wet D W _p Plastic Limit
	Gi tra De	radational or ansitional strata efinitive or disti rata change	a	Field Tests PID DCP(x-y) HP	Photoi Dynan	nic pen	on detector reading (ppm) etrometer test (test depth interval shown) meter test (UCS kPa)	<u>Densit</u> y		La D M D	ery Lo oose lediur ense ery D	n Dense	Density Index <15% Density Index 15 - 35% e Density Index 35 - 65% Density Index 65 - 85% Density Index 85 - 100%

		REGION		~			RING LOG - TEST PIT Pulver Cooper Blackley				EST	' PIT N	NO: TP107
		GEOTEC Solutio		L PF SI	ROJEC TE LC	CATI	ME: Residential Subdivision			J L	OBI	NO: GED E	RGS01426.1
EQ	UIPM	ENT TYPE:	K	obelco	5 8T E	xcava	tor EASTIN	3:	:	SURF			
TE	ST PI	T LENGTH:	2.	.8 m	W	IDTH:			I	DATU	-		AHD
	Drill	ing and Samp	ling			-7	Material description and profile informat	on		-	Fiel	d Test	-
METHOD	WATER	SAMPLES		EPTH (m)	GRAPHIC LOG	CLASSIFICATION SYMBOL	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION: Soil type, pla characteristics,colour,minor compo		MOISTURE CONDITION	CONSISTENCY DENSITY	Test Type	Result	Structure and additional observations
EXCAVATOR	Not Encountered			-			TOPSOIL: Clayey SILT, dark grey, bro some Gravel	wn, with	M				TOPSOIL
EX	Not En			0.5		CL	0.40m Sandy Gravelly CLAY: Low to mediul orange brown and grey, Sand and Gra coarse grained		M > W	VSt / Fb			COLLUVIUM
				- 1.0							HP	250 300	
				2.5		CL	2.10m Sandy CLAY: Low to medium plasticit fine to coarse grained, with some Grav						COLLUVIUM - Grey, Claye band irregular
				3.0			Sandy Gravelly CLAY: Low to mediu pale grey-orange brown, Sand and Gra coarse grained	avel fine to					
				4.5			Sandy CLAY: Low to medium plasticit fine to coarse grained, some Gravel	y, grey, Sand	M > Wp	Fb / VSt			COLLUVIUM - Higher moisture content than abov
				-			Hole Terminated at 4.80 m						
Water U ✓ Water Level (Date and time shown) CB E ✓ Water Inflow AS: ✓ Water Outflow B Strata Changes Fiel				ater Level U ₅₀ 50mm Diameter tube sar bate and time shown) CBR Bulk sample for CBR test iater Inflow E Environmental sample ater Outflow B Bulk Sample banges Field Tests				S F St VSt H	Very Soft Soft Firm Stiff Very Stiff Hard Friable	V	<2 25 50 10 20	CS (kPa 25 5 - 50 0 - 100 00 - 200 00 - 400 400 5005e	D Dry M Moist W Wet D W _p Plastic Limit
	De	nsitional strata efinitive or distic rata change		P(x-y)	0 41 7				L ME D VE	D N			

		REGIONA	\L			RIN	G LOG - TEST PIT				-	PITN	
		GEOTECH SOLUTIO	INICAL	CLIENT: Pulver Cooper Blackley PROJECT NAME: Residential Subdivision							'AGE OB I		1 of 1
		SULUTIU	NS	SITE L									RGS01426.1
							Rayford Street, Warners Bay See Figure 1				OGC ATE	GED E :.	3Y: SM 4/12/18
													4/12/10
		ENT TYPE: T LENGTH:	Kot 2.8	elco 8T I m V	Excava /IDTH:		D.5 m EASTING: NORTHING:			SURF.		RL:	AHD
	Drill	ing and Sampli	ing				Material description and profile information			1	Fiel	d Test	-
METHOD	WATER	SAMPLEST	RL DEP (m) (m		CLASSIFICATION SYMBOL	M	ATERIAL DESCRIPTION: Soil type, plasticity characteristics,colour,minor components	ı/particle s	MOISTURE CONDITION	CONSISTENCY DENSITY	Test Type	Result	Structure and additional observations
EXCAVATOR	untered			-			TOPSOIL: Clayey SILT, grey-brown		М				TOPSOIL
EXCAV	Not Encountered		(СН	0.30m	Gravelly Sandy CLAY: Medium plasticity, g orange brown, Sand and Gravel fine to coars grained		M > Wp	St / VSt	HP HP	180 200	COLLUVIUM
							Irregular Sand of disturbed Shale at 1.1m						
						2.40m	CLAY: High plasticity, pale grey, with some	Sand	M > Wp	St	HP	120	RESIDUAL SOIL - Moist
						2.90m							
			:	5.0 ····· - - 5.5 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -		3.00m	SANDSTONE Hole Terminated at 3.00 m		M	H			HIGHLY WEATHERED
	Wat (Dat Wat	er Level e and time show er Inflow er Outflow nges	vn) Notes, U∞ CBR E ASS B	Bulk Envir Acid Bulk	m Diame	eter tub for CBI al samp Soil Sa		S S F Fi St S VSt V H H Fb Fi	'ery Soft oft irm tiff 'ery Stiff lard riable		<2 25 50 10 20 >4	5 - 50 0 - 100 00 - 200 00 - 400 400	$ \begin{array}{ccc} D & Dry \\ M & Moist \\ W & Wet \\ D & W_{\rho} & Plastic Limit \\ D & W_{L} & Liquid Limit \\ \end{array} $
	tra De	adational or ansitional strata efinitive or distict rata change	t Field T PID DCP(x HP	Phot y) Dyna	imic pen	etrome	ector reading (ppm) ter test (test depth interval shown) test (UCS kPa)	<u>Density</u>	V L ME D VD	Lo M D	ery Lo bose lediun ense ery De	n Dens	Density Index <15% Density Index 15 - 35% e Density Index 35 - 65% Density Index 65 - 85% Density Index 85 - 100%

	ENGINEERING LOG - TEST PIT									т	EST	PIT N	10: TP109
				CAL C	CLIENT:Pulver Cooper BlackleyPROJECT NAME:Residential SubdivisionSITE LOCATION:Rayford Street, Warners Bay						PAGE	≣:	1 of 1
		SOLUT									OB I	NO:	RGS01426.1
				s							.OGG	GED E	BY: SM
				т	EST L	OCAT	ION: See Figure 1			DATE: 4/12/18			4/12/18
		MENT TYP		Kobelo 2.8 m	xo 8T E W	xcava IDTH:	tor EASTING: 0.5 m NORTHING:			SURF. DATU		RL:	AHD
<u> </u>	Drilling and Sampling						Material description and profile information			Field Test			
						z				<u> </u>			
METHOD	WATER	SAMPLES	RL (m)	DEPTH (m)	GRAPHIC LOG	CLASSIFICATION SYMBOL	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION: Soil type, plasticity characteristics,colour,minor component	//particle s	MOISTURE CONDITION	CONSISTENCY DENSITY	Test Type	Result	Structure and additional observations
ror	ered			-			TOPSOIL: Sandy Silty CLAY, dark grey-bro roots	own, tree	Å ≜	F			DISTURBED TOPSOIL
EXCAVATOR	Not Encountered			-	{ {		10013		× ×				
EXC	t Enc			0.5									
	Ž			0.5		СН	Sandy CLAY: Medium to high plasticity, pa		-	St /			COLLUVIUM
				-			brown and orange, Sand fine to coarse grain Gravel fine to coarse grained	ned, some	e	VSt	HP	200	
				-							HP	180	
				1.0_									
				-							HP	240	
				-									
				1.5							HP	240	
				-									
				-									
				2.0									
				-									
				-		CL	2.20m Sandy CLAY: Low to medium plasticity, gre	ey, Sand	¢	-			RESIDUAL SOIL - Possibly
							fine to coarse grained, some fissuring and re structure		× v ×				tuffaceous Sandstone
				2.5									
				-									
				-									
				3.0									
				-									
				-									
				3.5	<u>,,,,,,,,</u>	1	3.40m Hole Terminated at 3.40 m						
				-							1		
				-	-						1		
				4.0	1								
				-	-								
				-	1								
				4.5	-								
					1								
				-	-								
LEG Wat				-	1								
LEG	SEND:		L	Notes, Sa	mples ar	d Tests	<u> </u>	Consist	-	I		CS (kPa	
Wat		daal oo d		U ₅₀	50mm	n Diame	ter tube sample	VS S	Very Soft Soft	t		25 5 - 50	D Dry M Moist
Ŧ		iter Level ite and time s	hown)	CBR Bulk sample for CBR testing F					Firm Stiff		50	0 - 100 00 - 200	W Wet
►	- Wa	ter Inflow	Ί	E ASS	Acid S	Sulfate S	Soil Sample	VSt	Very Stiff	F	20	00 - 400	F
		iter Outflow anges		В	Bulk S	Sample		H Fb	Hard Friable			400	
	G	Gradational or		Field Test PID		ionisatio	on detector reading (ppm)	<u>Density</u>	<u>v</u> V		'ery Lo oose	oose	Density Index <15% Density Index 15 - 35%
		ansitional stra Definitive or dis		DCP(x-y)	Dynar	nic pen	etrometer test (test depth interval shown)		M	D N	lediur	n Dense	e Density Index 35 - 65%
	S	trata change		HP	Hand	renetro	meter test (UCS kPa)		D VE		ense ′ery D	ense	Density Index 65 - 85% Density Index 85 - 100%

						NEE	NG LOG - TEST PIT NO: TP11					10: TP110	
	GEOTECHNICAL				LIENT	:	Pulver Cooper Blackley			Р	AGE	:	1 of 1
	SOLUTIONS				ROJE	CT NA	ME: Residential Subdivision			J	OB I	NO:	RGS01426.1
				5	SITE LO	OCATI	ON: Rayford Street, Warners Bay			L	OGO	GED E	BY: SM
				1	EST L	OCAT	ION: See Figure 1			D	ATE		4/12/18
EC	QUIPN	IENT TYP	E:	Kobel	CO 8T E	Excava	tor EASTING:	EASTING:				RL:	
TE	TEST PIT LENGTH: 3.3					IDTH:	0.5 m NORTHING:		[DATUM:			AHD
	Dri	lling and Sar	mpling			1	Material description and profile information				Fiel	d Test	
METHOD	WATER	SAMPLES	RL (m)	DEPTH (m)	GRAPHIC LOG	CLASSIFICATION SYMBOL	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION: Soil type, plasticity characteristics,colour,minor component		MOISTURE CONDITION	CONSISTENCY DENSITY	Test Type	Result	Structure and additional observations
ATOR	Itered						TOPSOIL: Sandy CLAY, low to medium pla	sticity,	М				TOPSOIL
EXCAVATOR	Not Encountered			0.5		CL	Gravelly Sandy CLAY: Medium plasticity, grey-brown and orange-brown, Sand and Gr to coarse grained	ravel fine	M < Wp	VSt / Fb	HP	220 230	COLLUVIUM
				1. <u>0</u>		СН	0.70m Sandy CLAY: Medium to high plasticity, gra fine to medium grained	ey, Sand		St			RESIDUAL SOIL
				1.5			1.50m SHALE: Fine grained, grey and dark grey, laminated		M				HIGHLY TO MODERATELY WEATHERED SHALE No apparent disturbance
				2.0			Hole Terminated at 1.90 m						
RG LIB 104.4.GLB Log RG NON-CORED BORFHOLE - TEST PIT RGS01426.1.TP LOGS.GPJ < <drawingfile>> 19/12/2018 10:50 8:30.004 Dagget Lab and In Situ Tool</drawingfile>				2.5 3.0 3.5 4.0 4.5									
LE	GEND:	1		Notes, Sa	mples a	nd Tests			onsistency UCS (kPa				-
	Water U₅₀ ✓ Water Level (Date and time shown) ► Water Inflow ASS ✓ Water Outflow B Strata Changes			CBR E ASS	Bulk s Enviro Acid s Bulk s	sample f	ter tube sample for CBR testing I sample Soil Sample	S S F F St S VSt V H F	/ery Soft Soft Firm Stiff /ery Stiff Hard Friable V		50 10 20	5 - 50 0 - 100 00 - 200 00 - 400 400	- F
RG LIB 1.04.4.0	Gradational or transitional strata Definitive or distict strata change					mic pen	on detector reading (ppm) etrometer test (test depth interval shown) meter test (UCS kPa)		L MD D VD) M D	oose	n Dense	Density Index 15 - 35%